

**UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template**

<b>Overall Report Rating</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>
------------------------------	------------------	-------------	---------------------	-----------------------

<b>Response</b>	
<b>Title of the Evaluation Report</b>	<b>Economic Empowerment of Syrian Women Refugees and Host Communities in the Arab Region – Final Evaluation of UN Women Regional Project (2014- 2016)</b>
<b>Report sequence number</b>	0
<b>Date of Review</b>	01 February 2018
<b>Year of the Evaluation Report</b>	<b>2017</b>
<b>Region</b>	Arab States
<b>Country(ies)</b>	Egypt, Iraq, Jordan, Lebanon
<b>Executive Summary in Final Report</b>	Fully
<b>TORs sent with Report</b>	Yes
<b>OVERALL RATING</b>	
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Overall Rating</b>	This is a strong report that comprehensively addresses the evaluation matrix. It would have benefited from more clearly articulating the overall design of the evaluation, developing generalised lessons, and polishing some details around elements such as the scope.
<b>PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION</b>	
<b>PARAMETER 1</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	The context is comprehensive and covers the full range of normative, political, social, and programmatic issues.
<b>PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE</b>	
<b>PARAMETER 2</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	The purpose is explained, including key audiences – although a brief label of primary intended users and uses might also have added value. The discussion of scope is present, but highly limited. Criteria are listed in the objectives and methods, but are not defined. GE/HR is included in the criteria and methods, but not directly in the purpose and objectives.
<b>PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY</b>	
<b>PARAMETER 3</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 3</b>	The evaluation presents a detailed process, and a relevant set of methods. However, it does not explain the overall evaluation design approach that was used to weave all of these methods together. The ethics coverage is strong, and comes right at the beginning of the methods section - which sets the tone for the evaluation in a powerful way. While the maximum variation sampling approach is describe, details about the samplibg frame are limited; as is concrete information on the concrete tools for data capture. Limitations cover the constraint faced in the implementation of the evaluation, but not of the methods themselves.
<b>PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS</b>	
<b>PARAMETER 4</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 4</b>	The findings systematically address the evaluation criteria and questions. Findings on effectiveness and efficiency are more explicit in the marshalling of different lines of evdience; wheread findngs of relevance are not also fully explicit about the sources of supporting or refuting evidence.
<b>PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED</b>	
<b>PARAMETER 5</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 5</b>	Conclusions are systematic and reference the criteria that they address. They add depth and support management understanding of the implications of the findings. There are no lessons provided.
<b>PARAMETER 6:RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	
<b>PARAMETER 6</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6</b>	Recomemndations are addressed to the evaluation purpose and clearly grounded in the findings. The report does not fully address who was involved in the development and validation of the recommendations.
<b>PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS</b>	
<b>PARAMETER 7</b>	<b>Meets Requirements</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7</b>	The evaluation indicators specifically address GE/HR. The scope includes GEEW as a consequence of the evaluation object - the objectives do not specifically state an exploration of a gender perspective. The evaluation uses GE/HR as a cross-cutting lens of analysis; an mainstreams GEEW into questions across the evaluation matrix. The qualitative methods are sufficient to identify gender issues through participatory data collection, and the application of gener analysis. There is limited evdience of participation in data analysis and validation. The findings, conclusions and recommendations all explicitly address GE/HR dimensions.

<b>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</b>	<b>PARAMETER 8</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8</b>	This is a well written and presented, comprehensive and complete evaluation report.	