

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
Response					
Title of the Evaluation Report	ENGAGING WOMEN IN PREVENTING AND COUNTERING EXTREMIST VIOLENCE IN KENYA				
Report sequence number	0	Date of Review	01 February 2018	Year of the Evaluation Report	2017
Region	Eastern and Southern Africa		Country(ies)	Kenya	
Executive Summary in Final Report	Fully		TORs sent with Report	Yes	
OVERALL RATING			Overall Rating	Good	
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	This is a comprehensive and systematic qualitative evaluation, that acknowledges the limitations of the design and develops a useful set of recommendations. Some greater transparency around couple of issues could have further strengthened a good overall report.				
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION			PARAMETER 1	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The report has a very useful discussion on the object of the evaluation; however, wider contextual background is largely missing. The results of the project are listed, and the theory of change is discussed from an analytical perspective, but the report misses the opportunity to actually present this theory of change. It would also have been helpful to include the budget of the project.				
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE			PARAMETER 2	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The report includes an excellent presentation of the primary intended users and uses of the evaluation. The evaluation criteria are included in the objectives, but it would have been helpful to define them more clearly.				
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY			PARAMETER 3	Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The evaluation uses a qualitative approach, acknowledging the limitations of this and explaining the justification. Useful levels of detail are provided for each of the elements of the method.				
PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS			PARAMETER 4	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The findings are systematic in addressing the evaluation matrix. However, they are not always fully transparent in presenting the evidence: for example, making statements such as "Analysis shows..." without explaining what analysis, or stating that there was "consensus" without explaining among who.				
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED			PARAMETER 5	Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	Conclusions are comprehensive and clearly link back to findings. Lessons are also provided.				
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS			PARAMETER 6	Good	
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	Recommendations are firmly grounded in the finding; but it is less clear around how they were validated.				
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS			PARAMETER 7	Meets Requirements	
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	<p>GEEW is included across the specific objectives of the evaluation, and within the scope. No evaluation matrix of indicators beyond the results framework for the project is included.</p> <p>Questions relating to gender equality and human rights are explicitly included under each of the evaluation criteria.</p> <p>The evaluation adopts a qualitative design and set of methods. These triangulate several different sources of data, including the voices of rights holders. Participatory approaches are primarily concerned with data collection; without a clear mechanism for supporting participation within the analysis stage.</p> <p>A gender analysis informs findings under all of the evaluation criteria; and this is continued through to the conclusions and recommendations sections.</p>				

PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE	PARAMETER 8	Very Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	The evaluation is logically arranged and well written.	