

**UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template**

<b>Response</b>				
<b>Title of the Evaluation Report</b>	<b>Final External Formative Evaluation of the Project “Women for Equality, Peace and Development in Georgia”</b>			
<b>Report sequence number</b>	0	<b>Date of Review</b>		<b>Year of the Evaluation Report</b> 2013
<b>Region</b>	Europe and Central Asia		<b>Country(ies)</b>	Georgia
<b>Executive Summary in Final Report</b>	Yes		<b>TORs sent with Report</b>	Yes
<b>OVERALL RATING</b>			<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Overall Rating</b>	In terms of methods and gendered design of real-world evaluation, this report is a masterpiece - representing the additional level of stakeholder analysis (using power dynamics and critical paradigms) and ethical participation that UN Women standards aspire to. For this reason, and despite having conclusions and recommendations that do not bring the best out of the evidence generated, this report must be recognised as exemplary overall.			
<b>PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION</b>			<b>PARAMETER 1</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	The report provides a brief background assessment of the project and discusses the major features of the design and intended results. This includes all the critical information, although readers who are not familiar with Georgia may have benefitted from further details. The report also does not include a copy of the logical framework, which would have added additional value. Nevertheless, it is clear what the programme was attempted to achieve.			
<b>PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE</b>			<b>PARAMETER 2</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	The Purpose, Objectives and Scope are all clearly presented and interpreted according to UNEG definitions. The discussion on evaluation criteria and the inclusion of human rights and gender in the evaluation is excellent.			
<b>PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY</b>			<b>PARAMETER 3</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 3</b>	The Methods and Design section is exemplary and is an excellent example for other reports. Of particular note are the inclusion of specific participatory processes at the inception and validation phases, the grasp of intersectionality as part of the evaluation design, and the emphasis given to ethical context.			
<b>PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 4</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 4</b>	The findings are systematic and presented according to the evaluation framework. Sometimes, the organisation according to outputs and outcomes means that the points on sustainability or relevance are more difficult to find. However, the evidence is well marshalled and triangulated.			
<b>PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED</b>			<b>PARAMETER 5</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 5</b>	The style of presentation - bullet points under the criteria - unfortunately does not do justice to the quality of the rest of the report. The points are largely summaries of the findings with some interpretation of the evaluators if these are positive or negative, rather than taking the analysis further and exploring the implications of the findings for decision makers. Fewer points, more depth, and more selective marshalling of evidence would help make the most of the excellent set of evidence generated.			
<b>PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 6</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6</b>	Similarly to the conclusions, the bullet-point style of the 21 recommendations does not suit the in depth, prioritised, and clearly targeted ambition of the UN Women standards. Whilst these recommendations may reflect the validation process with stakeholders, the evaluators could have added their view on which were the priority actions by who.			
<b>PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 7</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7</b>	This report takes gender and human rights integration to a higher level - as should be expected from UN Women, especially in terms of the intentional participation of stakeholders in the inception and validation phases based upon comprehensive power, feminist, Marxist, ethnic, and economic analyses.			
<b>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</b>			<b>PARAMETER 8</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8</b>	The report is generally well written and structured, with all the required information. It would have benefitted from additional cross referencing, especially as it relies on the annexes in some regards.			