

		1.	
	UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Te	mplate	
	Response		
Title of the Evaluation Report	De lo local a lo global: políticas públicas para el empo Evaluación del acuerdo de colaboración cdi-onu Muje para la Igualdad de Género con Pueblos Indígenas		
Report sequence number	0 Date of Review	Year of the Evaluation Report	2013
Region	Americas and Caribbean	Country(ies)	Mexico (one activity has a regional component with networks of indigenous women
Executive Summary in Final Report	Yes	TORs sent with Report	No
	OVERALL RATING	Overall Rating	Unsatisfactory
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	To be a credible report, the report should be more evidence be conclusions and recommendations. Many of the issues could be structuring the report.		
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND C	CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION	PARAMETER 1	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The logic model and expected result chain is poorly described theory of change used by a programme or partnership if this is certain degree the evaluation object is well described, as is the benefit from having data on the sources and levels of resource to the intended results.	s not available in advance o context in Mexico. Howev	of the evaluation. To a ver, the report would
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OB	JECTIVES AND SCOPE	PARAMETER 2	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The purpose of the evaluation and its objectives are not clearly general objectives and references to frameworks, the report w objectives and definitions of the key evaluation criteria. The m outlined and explained in terms of how they will be answered, what was excluded from the scope of the evaluation, and why.	ould benefot from specific nain evaluation questions c . The report would also ber	statements of ould be more clearly
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLO	3Y	PARAMETER 3	Satisfactory
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOG Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	<b>GY</b> The methodology used is clearly described but insufficiently r questions. It is difficult to judge if this is due to the evaluation evaluation criteria. The report presents few measures to ensu such as interview protocols and observation tools can't be judg evaluation fails to refer to ethical safeguards.	efers to evaluation criteria design or as the ToR do no re data quality. The validity	and evaluation ot call for specific y of data collection tools
Executive Feedback on	The methodology used is clearly described but insufficiently requestions. It is difficult to judge if this is due to the evaluation evaluation criteria. The report presents few measures to ensure such as interview protocols and observation tools can't be judged.	efers to evaluation criteria design or as the ToR do no re data quality. The validity	and evaluation ot call for specific y of data collection tools
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The methodology used is clearly described but insufficiently requestions. It is difficult to judge if this is due to the evaluation evaluation criteria. The report presents few measures to ensure such as interview protocols and observation tools can't be judged.	efers to evaluation criteria design or as the ToR do no re data quality. The validity ged as these are missing in PARAMETER 4 ion collected. However in s	and evaluation ot call for specific y of data collection tools the annexes. The Satisfactory some cases evidence is
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3 PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS Executive Feedback on	The methodology used is clearly described but insufficiently r questions. It is difficult to judge if this is due to the evaluation evaluation criteria. The report presents few measures to ensu such as interview protocols and observation tools can't be judge evaluation fails to refer to ethical safeguards. Findings are clearly structured and are based on the informati anecdotal insufficiently triangulated to be robust (specially wh successes and challenges are clearly outlined.	efers to evaluation criteria design or as the ToR do no re data quality. The validity ged as these are missing in PARAMETER 4 ion collected. However in s	and evaluation ot call for specific y of data collection tools the annexes. The Satisfactory some cases evidence is
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3 PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The methodology used is clearly described but insufficiently r questions. It is difficult to judge if this is due to the evaluation evaluation criteria. The report presents few measures to ensu such as interview protocols and observation tools can't be judge evaluation fails to refer to ethical safeguards. Findings are clearly structured and are based on the informati anecdotal insufficiently triangulated to be robust (specially wh successes and challenges are clearly outlined.	efers to evaluation criteria design or as the ToR do ne re data quality. The validity ged as these are missing in PARAMETER 4 ion collected. However in se nen a finding is drawn on a PARAMETER 5 ted in this partnership out as such. UN Women stam	and evaluation ot call for specific y of data collection tools the annexes. The Satisfactory some cases evidence is n interview). The Unsatisfactory lines successes and dards require that there
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3 PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS Executive Feedback on Parameter 4 PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS Executive Feedback on	The methodology used is clearly described but insufficiently requestions. It is difficult to judge if this is due to the evaluation evaluation criteria. The report presents few measures to ensure such as interview protocols and observation tools can't be judge evaluation fails to refer to ethical safeguards. Findings are clearly structured and are based on the informatic anecdotal insufficiently triangulated to be robust (specially we successes and challenges are clearly outlined. S AND LESSONS LEARNED The conclusion are not clearly presented. Each activity evaluation for conclusions is a specific conclusions section that adds an additional layer of findings for decisions makers.	efers to evaluation criteria design or as the ToR do ne re data quality. The validity ged as these are missing in PARAMETER 4 ion collected. However in se nen a finding is drawn on a PARAMETER 5 ted in this partnership out as such. UN Women stam	and evaluation ot call for specific y of data collection tools the annexes. The Satisfactory some cases evidence is n interview). The Unsatisfactory lines successes and dards require that there
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3 PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS Executive Feedback on Parameter 4 PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	The methodology used is clearly described but insufficiently requestions. It is difficult to judge if this is due to the evaluation evaluation criteria. The report presents few measures to ensure such as interview protocols and observation tools can't be judge evaluation fails to refer to ethical safeguards. Findings are clearly structured and are based on the informatic anecdotal insufficiently triangulated to be robust (specially we successes and challenges are clearly outlined. S AND LESSONS LEARNED The conclusion are not clearly presented. Each activity evaluation for conclusions is a specific conclusions section that adds an additional layer of findings for decisions makers.	PARAMETER 4 ion collected. However in s nen a finding is drawn on a PARAMETER 5 ted in this partnership outl s as such. UN Women stan of analysis - identifying the PARAMETER 6 ion done to formulate and imendations benefit from of e from the findings and con	and evaluation ot call for specific y of data collection tools the annexes. The <b>Satisfactory</b> some cases evidence is n interview). The <b>Unsatisfactory</b> lines successes and dards require that there implications of the <b>Unsatisfactory</b> prioritize these is not clearly stating which
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3 PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS Executive Feedback on Parameter 4 PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS Executive Feedback on Parameter 5 PARAMETER 6:RECOMMEND/ Executive Feedback on	The methodology used is clearly described but insufficiently requestions. It is difficult to judge if this is due to the evaluation evaluation criteria. The report presents few measures to ensure such as interview protocols and observation tools can't be judge evaluation fails to refer to ethical safeguards. Findings are clearly structured and are based on the informatianeedotal insufficiently triangulated to be robust (specially we successes and challenges are clearly outlined. <b>S AND LESSONS LEARNED</b> The conclusion are not clearly presented. Each activity evaluation fails for decisions section that adds an additional layer of findings for decisions makers. <b>XTIONS</b> The recommendations are very general. The level of consultat very clear. It is not clear who is responsible to do what. Recom group they are aimed at, why they are relevant (using evidence process has been used to ensure they are feasible (e.g., validational factor).	PARAMETER 4 ion collected. However in s nen a finding is drawn on a PARAMETER 5 ted in this partnership outl s as such. UN Women stan of analysis - identifying the PARAMETER 6 ion done to formulate and imendations benefit from of e from the findings and con	and evaluation ot call for specific y of data collection tools the annexes. The <b>Satisfactory</b> some cases evidence is n interview). The <b>Unsatisfactory</b> lines successes and dards require that there implications of the <b>Unsatisfactory</b> prioritize these is not clearly stating which
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3 PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS Executive Feedback on Parameter 4 PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS Executive Feedback on Parameter 5 PARAMETER 6:RECOMMEND/ Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	The methodology used is clearly described but insufficiently requestions. It is difficult to judge if this is due to the evaluation evaluation criteria. The report presents few measures to ensure such as interview protocols and observation tools can't be judge evaluation fails to refer to ethical safeguards. Findings are clearly structured and are based on the informatianeedotal insufficiently triangulated to be robust (specially we successes and challenges are clearly outlined. <b>S AND LESSONS LEARNED</b> The conclusion are not clearly presented. Each activity evaluation fails for decisions section that adds an additional layer of findings for decisions makers. <b>XTIONS</b> The recommendations are very general. The level of consultat very clear. It is not clear who is responsible to do what. Recom group they are aimed at, why they are relevant (using evidence process has been used to ensure they are feasible (e.g., validational factor).	PARAMETER 4 ion collected. However in sing in the parameter of the paramet	and evaluation ot call for specific y of data collection tools the annexes. The <b>Satisfactory</b> some cases evidence is n interview). The <b>Unsatisfactory</b> lines successes and dards require that there implications of the <b>Unsatisfactory</b> prioritize these is not clearly stating which neclusions), and what <b>Satisfactory</b> ed to inform the design.
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3 PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS Executive Feedback on Parameter 4 PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS Executive Feedback on Parameter 5 PARAMETER 6:RECOMMENDA Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND Executive Feedback on	The methodology used is clearly described but insufficiently r questions. It is difficult to judge if this is due to the evaluation evaluation criteria. The report presents few measures to ensur such as interview protocols and observation tools can't be judge evaluation fails to refer to ethical safeguards. Findings are clearly structured and are based on the informati aneedotal insufficiently triangulated to be robust (specially we successes and challenges are clearly outlined. <b>5 AND LESSONS LEARNED</b> The conclusion are not clearly presented. Each activity evaluat challenges, however there is no clear indication of conclusions is a specific conclusions section that adds an additional layer of findings for decisions makers. <b>XTIONS</b> The recommendations are very general. The level of consultat very clear. It is not clear who is responsible to do what. Recom group they are aimed at, why they are relevant (using evidence process has been used to ensure they are feasible (e.g. validati <b>HUMAN RIGHTS</b> Gender and human rights perspectives are integrated in the ev In addition to presenting disaggregated quantitative data, the the co-design of the evaluation questions, data collection, and	PARAMETER 4 ion collected. However in sing in the parameter of the paramet	and evaluation ot call for specific y of data collection tools the annexes. The <b>Satisfactory</b> some cases evidence is n interview). The <b>Unsatisfactory</b> lines successes and dards require that there implications of the <b>Unsatisfactory</b> prioritize these is not clearly stating which neclusions), and what <b>Satisfactory</b> ed to inform the design.