

## **UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template**

	Response		
Title of the Evaluation Report	Final Evaluation of Rwandan Government And ONE U	JN ISANGA One Stop (	Centre, Final Report
Report sequence number	o Date of Review	Year of the Evaluation Report	2013
Region	Eastern and Southern Africa	Country(ies)	Rwanda
Executive Summary in Final Report	Yes	TORs sent with Report	No
	OVERALL RATING	Overall Rating	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	The evaluation report is clear, well structured and uses a gender-responsive methodology, and presents findings according to evaluation questions that are under-pinned by good human rights and gender analysis.		
	The executive summary is of a good standard and contains the key elements and findings of the evaluation report.		
kaung	The one section of the evaluation which is weaker and would need amending for this evaluation report to be rated as 'good' is the conclusion section, which would benefit from further depth of analysis, clearer linkages to evaluation criteria/questions, restructuring and to be separated from the lessons learned section.		
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND C	CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION	PARAMETER 1	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2  The contextual gender issues relevant to the programme and the evaluation, and the context in which the evaluation and programme took place in are clearly described.  The expected results chain of the programme is clearly described, as is the budget, background and implementation status of the programme.  The key stakeholders and their roles in the programme and the evaluation are clearly detailed.			
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OB	JECTIVES AND SCOPE	PARAMETER 2	Good
	The purpose of the evaluation and how its findings will be used and further roll-out of the programme is clearly described.	d by the different stakehol	ders for future learning
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The scope of the evaluation is clearly detailed, as well as the process by which the evaluation's scope was agreed upon, with the addition consequently of an annex focussing on the further roll-out of OSC and the evaluation report itself focused on the IOSC.		
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOG	GY	PARAMETER 3	Good
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOG  Executive Feedback on  Parameter 3	The Design of the Evaluation section clearly details how the methodology was adapted durrequirements of stakeholders.	ethodology was designed	to address the
Executive Feedback on	The Design of the Evaluation section clearly details how the m evaluation criteria, and how the methodology was adapted dur	ethodology was designed	to address the
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3  PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS  Executive Feedback on	The Design of the Evaluation section clearly details how the m evaluation criteria, and how the methodology was adapted dur	ethodology was designed ring the inception phase to PARAMETER 4 I, linked to the evaluation	to address the o accommodate the  Very Good  criteria and are based
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3 PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS	The Design of the Evaluation section clearly details how the methodology was adapted durrequirements of stakeholders.  The findings of the evaluation are clear, articulately presented.	PARAMETER 4 I, linked to the evaluation es as identified in the eval	to address the o accommodate the  Very Good  criteria and are based uation matrix.  failures are reported
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3  PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS  Executive Feedback on	The Design of the Evaluation section clearly details how the mevaluation criteria, and how the methodology was adapted dur requirements of stakeholders.  The findings of the evaluation are clear, articulately presented on the criteria, questions, methods, indicators and data source. The gaps and limitations in the available data and the reasons AND LESSONS LEARNED	PARAMETER 4 I, linked to the evaluation es as identified in the evaluation for accomplishments and	very Good criteria and are based uation matrix. failures are reported Unsatisfactory
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3  PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS  Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The Design of the Evaluation section clearly details how the mevaluation criteria, and how the methodology was adapted dur requirements of stakeholders.  The findings of the evaluation are clear, articulately presented on the criteria, questions, methods, indicators and data source. The gaps and limitations in the available data and the reasons AND LESSONS LEARNED  The conclusions and lessons learned are combined into one sequite brief, and for some of the points, lacks depth and full exp	PARAMETER 4 I, linked to the evaluation es as identified in the eval for accomplishments and PARAMETER 5 ction in the evaluation repolanation and evidence.	to address the o accommodate the  Very Good  criteria and are based uation matrix.  failures are reported  Unsatisfactory  oort and this section is
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3  PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS  Executive Feedback on Parameter 4  PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS  Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	The Design of the Evaluation section clearly details how the mevaluation criteria, and how the methodology was adapted dur requirements of stakeholders.  The findings of the evaluation are clear, articulately presented on the criteria, questions, methods, indicators and data source. The gaps and limitations in the available data and the reasons of and Lessons Learned. The conclusions and lessons learned are combined into one sequite brief, and for some of the points, lacks depth and full exp. The conclusion section does not address all of the key evaluation.	PARAMETER 4 I, linked to the evaluation es as identified in the eval for accomplishments and PARAMETER 5 ction in the evaluation replanation and evidence.	to address the o accommodate the  Very Good  criteria and are based uation matrix.  failures are reported  Unsatisfactory  oort and this section is
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3  PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS  Executive Feedback on Parameter 4  PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS  Executive Feedback on	The Design of the Evaluation section clearly details how the mevaluation criteria, and how the methodology was adapted dur requirements of stakeholders.  The findings of the evaluation are clear, articulately presented on the criteria, questions, methods, indicators and data source. The gaps and limitations in the available data and the reasons of and Lessons Learned. The conclusions and lessons learned are combined into one sequite brief, and for some of the points, lacks depth and full exp. The conclusion section does not address all of the key evaluation.	PARAMETER 4  I, linked to the evaluation es as identified in the eval for accomplishments and PARAMETER 5  ction in the evaluation repolanation and evidence.  on questions and is not loger than to the object and purp describe the process in designed in the describe the process in designed.	to address the o accommodate the very Good criteria and are based uation matrix. failures are reported Unsatisfactory out and this section is gically connected to the Satisfactory oses of the evaluation veloping the
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3  PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS  Executive Feedback on Parameter 4  PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS  Executive Feedback on Parameter 5  PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDA  Executive Feedback on	The Design of the Evaluation section clearly details how the mevaluation criteria, and how the methodology was adapted dur requirements of stakeholders.  The findings of the evaluation are clear, articulately presented on the criteria, questions, methods, indicators and data source. The gaps and limitations in the available data and the reasons and Lessons Learned  The conclusions and lessons learned are combined into one sequite brief, and for some of the points, lacks depth and full expectations.  The recommendations section does not address all of the key evaluation.  The recommendations made in the evaluation report are relevant clearly identify each target group, but the report does not recommendations and how/whether stakeholders were consulprioritisation or timescale within the recommendations.	PARAMETER 4  I, linked to the evaluation es as identified in the eval for accomplishments and PARAMETER 5  ction in the evaluation repolanation and evidence.  on questions and is not loger than to the object and purp describe the process in designed in the describe the process in designed.	to address the o accommodate the very Good criteria and are based uation matrix.  failures are reported Unsatisfactory port and this section is gically connected to the Satisfactory poses of the evaluation weloping the
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3  PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS  Executive Feedback on Parameter 4  PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS  Executive Feedback on Parameter 5  PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDA  Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	The Design of the Evaluation section clearly details how the mevaluation criteria, and how the methodology was adapted dur requirements of stakeholders.  The findings of the evaluation are clear, articulately presented on the criteria, questions, methods, indicators and data source. The gaps and limitations in the available data and the reasons and Lessons Learned  The conclusions and lessons learned are combined into one sequite brief, and for some of the points, lacks depth and full expectations.  The recommendations section does not address all of the key evaluation.  The recommendations made in the evaluation report are relevant clearly identify each target group, but the report does not recommendations and how/whether stakeholders were consulprioritisation or timescale within the recommendations.	PARAMETER 4 I, linked to the evaluation es as identified in the evaluation for accomplishments and PARAMETER 5 Ction in the evaluation replanation and evidence. In questions and is not log parameters and is not log parameters and is not log parameters and is process. There are process. There are process in detection this process. There are process in detection the process in detection the process. There are parameters are process. There are process in detection the process in detection the process. There are process in detection the process in detection the process in detection the process. There are process in detection the process in de	very Good criteria and are based uation matrix. failures are reported Unsatisfactory out and this section is gically connected to the Satisfactory ooses of the evaluation veloping the e is no sense of  Good uring the time of the d there is no detail in violence was domestic
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3  PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS  Executive Feedback on Parameter 4  PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS  Executive Feedback on Parameter 5  PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDA  Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6  PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND  Executive Feedback on	The Design of the Evaluation section clearly details how the mevaluation criteria, and how the methodology was adapted dur requirements of stakeholders.  The findings of the evaluation are clear, articulately presented on the criteria, questions, methods, indicators and data source. The gaps and limitations in the available data and the reasons and lessons learned are combined into one sequite brief, and for some of the points, lacks depth and full expectations.  The conclusions section does not address all of the key evaluation. The conclusions section does not address all of the key evaluation and clearly identify each target group, but the report does not recommendations and how/whether stakeholders were consulprioritisation or timescale within the recommendations.  HUMAN RIGHTS  The sampling strategy for beneficiary interviews meant that or evaluation at the IOSC or a certain number recommended by I the report of whether this sample included diverse age ranges, etc. and so it is not possible to ascertain how representative the	PARAMETER 4 I, linked to the evaluation es as identified in the evaluation for accomplishments and PARAMETER 5 Ction in the evaluation replanation and evidence. In questions and is not log parameters and is not log parameters and is not log parameters and is process. There are process. There are process in detection this process. There are process in detection the process in detection the process. There are parameters are process. There are process in detection the process in detection the process. There are process in detection the process in detection the process in detection the process. There are process in detection the process in de	very Good criteria and are based uation matrix. failures are reported Unsatisfactory out and this section is gically connected to the Satisfactory oses of the evaluation veloping the e is no sense of  Good uring the time of the d there is no detail in violence was domestic