

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

| | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| | | Response | |
| Title of the Evaluation Report | Final Project Evaluation – UN Women: Advancing Women’s Economic and Social Rights in Serbia and Montenegro | | |
| Report sequence number | 0 | Date of Review | Year of the Evaluation Report 2013 |
| Region | Europe and Central Asia | Country(ies) | Serbia, Montenegro |
| Executive Summary in Final Report | Yes | TORs sent with Report | Yes |
| OVERALL RATING | | Overall Rating | Very Good |
| Executive Feedback on Overall Rating | An excellent example of a well reasoned and well presented report, this document can be used with confidence. Each aspect of the evaluation is – on the whole - clearly explained, and there is a clear logic running through the document. This results in a strong set of evidence, transparent analysis, and detailed recommendations that are both relevant and feasible. | | |
| PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION | | PARAMETER 1 | Good |
| Executive Feedback on Parameter 2 | The opening section of the report includes a clear and accessible description of the object, including a presentation of the logical framework. It also goes beyond these standards and reconstructs a full theory of change, which is an extremely useful exercise for the reader. Further value would have been added to the report with a specific section on the stakeholders, stake holding roles, and stake holding issues in relation to the project. | | |
| PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE | | PARAMETER 2 | Good |
| Executive Feedback on Parameter 2 | The report clearly and concisely describes all the relevant information in line with UN Women standards. Some additional information on issues that were excluded from the scope would have added further value, as would a section that briefly unpacked each of the evaluation criteria (rather than having to refer to the annexes). | | |
| PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY | | PARAMETER 3 | Good |
| Executive Feedback on Parameter 3 | The methods and design section is excellent, clearly describing and justifying the approach used compared to the alternatives that were available. The box on the description of contribution analysis is a helpful addition. The main gap is a specific section on the ethics protocols that were used (although the report does refer to the standards that were followed in terms of naming the appropriate documents). | | |
| PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS | | PARAMETER 4 | Very Good |
| Executive Feedback on Parameter 4 | The findings section is excellent - clearly laid out, systematic in addressing the evaluation questions, and with good level of analysis. The table 2.3 that is included to describe the main influences on performance is an excellent innovation. The description of implications for each finding is also excellent. | | |
| PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED | | PARAMETER 5 | Good |
| Executive Feedback on Parameter 5 | Conclusions are clear and systematic, albeit fairly short overall. This limits the amount of evidence that they can marshal from the findings and the depth of description (and implications) given to each issue. | | |
| PARAMETER 6:RECOMMENDATIONS | | PARAMETER 6 | Good |
| Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6 | Recommendations are only two, but they are detailed and highly refined. The development of options is a useful feature. The report would be strengthened with the addition of a description of the process by which the recommendations were arrived at. | | |
| PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS | | PARAMETER 7 | Good |
| Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7 | The report is gender and human rights sensitive in its language, questions and approach. The extent to which data can be disaggregated is limited by the extent of the data collection used, and the insights are mostly strategic rather than in terms of development outcomes. The evaluation could have included an analysis of how different socio-economic groups of women were affected by the project achievements. It is also not clear on the extent to which beneficiaries were included in design process for the evaluation or in validating the conclusions. | | |
| PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE | | PARAMETER 8 | Very Good |
| Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8 | This is an excellently structured and accessible report. It's writing style and use of boxes, tables and graphs makes it useful for decision makers. The annexes are used to add additional value to the main report, and substantial cross referencing makes the information they contain highly accessible. | | |