

**UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template**

<b>Response</b>				
<b>Title of the Evaluation Report</b>	<b>UN WOMEN PROJECT: ADVANCING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF UNSCR 1325 ON WOMEN PEACE AND SECURITY IN THE WESTERN BALKANS</b>			
<b>Report sequence number</b>	0	<b>Date of Review</b>		<b>Year of the Evaluation Report</b> <b>2013</b>
<b>Region</b>	Europe and Central Asia		<b>Country(ies)</b>	Bosnia and Herzegovina, Serbia, FYR Macedonia, Kosovo
<b>Executive Summary in Final Report</b>	Yes	<b>TORs sent with Report</b>	Yes	
<b>OVERALL RATING</b>			<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Overall Rating</b>	This is generally a strong report, with a clear design, appropriate methods, and good use of triangulation in the findings. The evaluation criteria and questions are systematically addressed. However, the lack of a clear conclusions section and the absence of ethics considerations reduces the overall adherence with UN Women standards. With some additional editing and detail in the recommendations this could be a Good report.			
<b>PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION</b>			<b>PARAMETER 1</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	All the required information is included in the report across two main parts. The work of UN Women is well articulated, as are the objectives and the changes that the programme has experienced. There is an identification of institutional stakeholders, however, it would also have been useful to include power analysis of the different social groups with a stake in the programme across the four countries.			
<b>PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE</b>			<b>PARAMETER 2</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	The report addresses all of the required elements, with a clear and well-interpreted purpose and scope. The objectives are not specifically pointed out, but are clearly derived from the methods section. The explanation of evaluation criteria and questions is very strong.			
<b>PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY</b>			<b>PARAMETER 3</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 3</b>	The methods section is detailed and sets out an appropriate mixed methods design. The limitations of this design could be elaborated a little further (over and above the constraints faced by the evaluation). However, the detailed examination of the data collection tools and processes is excellent.			
<b>PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 4</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 4</b>	The findings section is presented systematically and clearly marshals the evidence under the relevant evaluation questions. Good use is made of triangulation. The discussion of forward looking issues is very useful, and there is good use of qualitative coding using WordItOut			
<b>PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED</b>			<b>PARAMETER 5</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 5</b>	The report does not include a specific conclusions section. However, the findings section does end with some sub-heading that include forward-looking considerations that are equivalent to some aspects of conclusions. In this way, the reader can find deeper insights into the findings, however, the key logic of findings-conclusions-recommendations is lost. Lessons Learned are correctly identified in terms of generalizable insights that are not common knowledge - and it would have been valuable to explore these a little more.			
<b>PARAMETER 6:RECOMMENDATIONS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 6</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6</b>	Recommendations are a good number and clearly and progressively organised. They would benefit from a little more information to ensure that they are feasible and actionable. It can be implied that all the recommendations are targeted at UN Women - but it would be useful to specify who should take each one forward.			
<b>PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 7</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7</b>	The report makes good use of gender policies and norms, as well as a human rights lens.			
<b>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</b>			<b>PARAMETER 8</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8</b>	The report is logically structured and well written. It would have benefitted from some reorganising to have a specific conclusions section and could draw on some of the latter material in the findings to achieve this. The Executive Summary is largely sufficient, but the gaps in the main report are reflected and thus it cannot fully stand alone as is required by the UN Women standards.			