

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

				Response	
Title of the Evaluation Report		Evaluation Finale du Programme pays 2010-2013 d'ONU FEMMES au Burundi			
Report sequence number		0	Date of Review		Year of the Evaluation Report
Region		Eastern and Southern Africa		Country(ies)	Burundi
Executive Summary in Final Report		Yes		TORs sent with Report	No
OVERALL RATING				Overall Rating	Unsatisfactory
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating		The report, in most sections is of good quality with an appropriate methodology, good gender analysis and presentation of findings and contains useful lessons and examples of good practice. The absence of a conclusion section however renders the report overall to be unsatisfactory as the conclusions section should sum up and provide analysis of the programme's success and support the evaluation's recommendations, present reasonable judgments based on findings and substantiated by evidence and provide insights pertinent to the object and purpose of the evaluation.			
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION				PARAMETER 1	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2		The report presents a clear and full description of the project and its expected results chain, as well as the key stakeholders involved in the project implementation. The report makes clear the thematic areas the programme has addressed as well as the expected results and outcomes in a clear and readable way and makes clear how these have been amended over the course of the programme (due to delays and resource challenges).			
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE				PARAMETER 2	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2		The evaluation report's purpose, scope and objectives are clearly defined and the evaluation criteria and key corresponding questions are presented.			
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY				PARAMETER 3	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3		The report uses a mixed methods approach and sets out clearly the data collection methods, sources and includes data collection in the annexes, which demonstrate the measures taken to ensure data quality.			
PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS				PARAMETER 4	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4		The report presents evaluations findings clearly according to the evaluation criteria, using the methodology as described in the methodology section. The effectiveness section of the report is particularly detailed and strong.			
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED				PARAMETER 5	Unsatisfactory
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5		There is no conclusion section to the report, only a 'Lessons and Good Practice' section, which does not conclude upon any of the evaluation's findings. There are no conclusions within the finding section of the report after each evaluation criteria either.			
PARAMETER 6:RECOMMENDATIONS				PARAMETER 6	Unsatisfactory
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6		The recommendations section provides a number of recommendations relating to the programme and based upon the evaluation's findings but these are not based upon conclusions and there is no indication that the recommendations were developed in partnership with stakeholders. The recommendations made are relevant to the purposes of the evaluation and are all targeted to UN Women, rather than other stakeholders with no sense of prioritisation. All of the listed recommendations pertain to UN Women but it would have been useful for the recommendations to consider the actions of other stakeholders in achieving success of the programme.			
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS				PARAMETER 7	Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7		The report integrates gender and human rights perspectives throughout using sound analysis and consistently uses gender sensitive language and gender disaggregated figures where appropriate. Questions on women's human rights are included in the evaluation matrix.			
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE				PARAMETER 8	Unsatisfactory
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8		The structure of the report and its logical flow is compromised by the lack of conclusions. Key annexes which assist the reviewer in assessing the evaluation report are missing such as the TORs etc.			