

UN Women Global Evaluation Report Assessment and Analysis System (GERAAS)



Executive Feedback Template

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
Title of the Evaluation Report	Final Evaluation of the United Nations Joint Programme for Gender Equality in UGANDA				
Report sequence number	9	Date of Review	2016	Year of the Evaluation Report	2015
Region	Eastern and Southern Africa		Country(ies)	Uganda	
Executive Summary in Final Report	Very Good		TORs sent with Report	Yes	
OVERALL RATING			Overall Rating	Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	Overall this is an excellent report which presents the results of the evaluation in clear, complete, professional manner. It fully meets UN-Women's requirements described in the Evaluation report Standards. Its methodology is sound and robust, the data collected was systematically analyzed and therefore findings and conclusions are meaningful and relevant. The recommendations are also useful and relevant to the object.				
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION			PARAMETER 1	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The object is thoroughly described in section 1.1 of the report. It sets out the logic model in a very detailed manner. Section 1.2. discusses the context to frame the intervention in its moment and place. The status of the intervention is also well described.				
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE			PARAMETER 2	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	Evaluation's purpose, objectives and scope are well described in the report. Evaluation criteria address the main DAC criteria and incorporates additional interesting criteria such as validity, management and coordination.				
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY			PARAMETER 3	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The methodology section in the report is very complete including basic elements such as the approach, methods and sampling and some other additional such as validation process and stakeholders participation, which makes the report more credible as those issues are important elements in an evaluation and are transparently reflected in the report, which is not always the case.				
PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS			PARAMETER 4	Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	This report outstands for the clarity and analytical way to reflect the findings of the evaluation. They are structured by the evaluation criteria, where effectiveness has been detailed by each of the expected outcomes of the program. As a benchmark practice the report includes is a rubric for assessing the levels of performance (A, B, C or D) of each of the elements the evaluation analysed (section 3.4. Scoring criteria).				
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED			PARAMETER 5	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	Conclusions section includes a table in page 43 that summarizes the performance of each of the criteria using the scoring code above mentioned, in a very analytical, efficient way. It particularly presents the Lessons learned that the evaluators harvested during the evaluation and are remarkable in their depth and wealth, covering 5 main strategic areas.				
PARAMETER 6:RECOMMENDATIONS			PARAMETER 6	Good	
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	Recommendations are structured around two main perspectives - about gender equality and about management. Priority about the relevance of each of them was not full developed.				

PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS		PARAMETER 7	Exceed requirement
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	<p>The report reflects an excellent inclusion of GEEW issues along the evaluation phases. Even though the intervention's main area is gender equality, the report outstands for including constant details that proves that the evaluators kept the gender lens into account in their methodology and analysis, as in the evaluation results. Beyond the fact that the intervention's main focus is Gender Equality, the report also frames the evaluation in this approach. Indicators in the matrix are mainstreamed by this perspective. Evaluation criteria and questions fully cover the GEEW perspective as shown in the evaluation matrix or framework (Annex 2, page 62). Involvement and acknowledgement of the different groups is well reflected in the report. Analysis along the report reflects focused attention to different groups and how the intervention benefited them.</p>		
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE		PARAMETER 8	Very Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	<p>The evaluation report is an example of how evaluation reports should look like. The executive summary really summarizes the main messages of the report in a stand-alone document. The annexes are really complete, special mention of annex 7 where all the evaluation tools are included at the end of the report. Biodata of the evaluators could have been included as an annex.</p>		