and Analysis System (GERAAS) Constructive Feedback Template WOMENEE Overall Report Rating Very Good Good Satisfactory Unsatisfactory Title of the Evaluation Report Final Evaluation of "Women For Equality, Peace And Development is Regoort sequence number 26 Date of Review 2016 Year of the Evaluation 2016 Region Europe and Central Asia Country(ics) Georgia Executive Summary in Final Report Very Good OVERAIL RATING Overall Rating Verg Good Tibs report is an excellent example of the application of UNEO standards to the UN Women context, integrating strong gender analysis and quantitative data within a programme that sought to support the implementation of normative standards for GEWE. There are several standard elements, and whils there is scope for refinement in places the overall 'performane stop' elaborated in the report can be acted on with confidence by the primary intended user of the evaluation. PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION PARAMETER 1 Very Good Parameter 2 The evaluation provides a detailed and wild ranging contact section covering both the polic economy and the status of women in pace and security processes within the region/target area and intersection of WEPD with other UN programmes. However, these ideas should not detra from recognising an excellent evaluation are somewhat 'hidden' in the texa a detailed scope section, well defined crite	UN Women Global Evaluation Report Assessment							
Title of the Evaluation Report Final Evaluation Georgia"(WEPD II) Women For Equality, Peace And Development in Georgia"(WEPD II) Report 26 Date of Review 2016 Year of the Evaluation Report 2016 Region Europe and Central Asia Country(ies) Georgia Executive Summary in Final Report Very Good TORs sent with Report Yes OVERALL RATING Overall Rating Very Good Executive Feedback on Overall Rating This report is an excellent example of the application of UNEG standards to the UN Women context, integrating strong gender analysis and quantitative data within a programme that sought to support the implementation of normative standards for GEWE. There are several standout elements, and whilst there is scope for refinement in places the overall 'performanc story' elaborated in the report can be acted on with confidence by the primary intended users of the evaluation. PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION PARAMETER 1: Very Good The evaluation provides a detailed and wide ranging context section covering both the politic economy and the status of women. It would have been interesting to include a little more o the role of women in peace and security processes within the region/target area and the adtailed scope section, well defined eriteria, and explicit discussion of GEHR are all outstanding markers of quality. PARAMETER 2: EVRPOSE, BJECTIVES AND SCOPE PARAMETER 2 Very Good Executive Feedback on Parame	and Analysis System (GERAAS) Constructive Feedback Template							
Report Georgia"(WEPD II) Total and the industry in the formation of the industry in the exceeding and the export is an excellent status Year of the Evaluation Report 2016 Region Europe and Central Asia Country(ies) Georgia Executive Summary in Final Report Very Good TORs sent with Report Yes OVERALL RATING Overall Rating Very Good Torks sent with Report Yes Executive Feedback on Overall Rating OVERALL RATING Overall Rating Very Good This report is an excellent example of the application of UNRG standards to the UN Women context, integrating strong gender analysis and quantitative data within a programme that sought to support the implementation of normative standards for GEWE. There are several standout elements, and whilst there is scope for refinement in places the overall 'performance's of the evaluation. PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION PARAMETER 1 Very Good Parameter 2 The evaluation provides a detailed and wide ranging context section covering both the politic comony and the status of women. It would have been interesting to include a little more on the role of women in prace and scurity processes within the region/larget are and the intersection of WEPD with other UN programmes. However, these ideas should not detrad from recognising an excellent evaluation report. PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE PARAMETER 2 Very Good Scurity or Good Scurity or	Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good		Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory		
number26Date or Review2016Evaluation Report2016RegionEurope and Central AsiaCountry(ies)GeorgiaExecutive Summary in Final ReportVery GoodTORs sent with ReportYesOVERALL RATINGOverall RatingVery GoodThis report is an excellent example of the application of UNEG standards to the UN Women context, integrating strong gender analysis and quantitative data within a programme that standout clements, and whilst there is scope for refinement in places the overall Performance standout clements, and whilst there is scope for refinement in places the overall Performance standout clements, and whilst there is scope for refinement in places the overall Performance standout clements, and whilst there is scope for refinement in places the overall Performance standout clements, and whilst there is scope for refinement in places the overall Performance standout clements, and whilst there is scope for refinement in place and security processes within the region/target area and this there scenters exclose solution are somewhat hidden in the two of the evaluation provides a detailed and wide ranging context section covering both the politic cenonny and the status of women. It would have been interesting to include a little more of the resolution provides and security processes within the region/target area and thing there are all dustanding markers of quality.PARAMETER 2:Very GoodExecutive Feedback on Parameter 2Whilst the purpose and specific objectives of the evaluation are somewhat 'hidden' in the tex a detailed scope section, well defined criteria, and explicit discussion of GEHR are all outstanding markers of quality.PARAMETER 3:METHODOLOGYPARAMETER 3: </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>Women Fo</td> <td>r Equality</td> <td>y, Peace And I</td> <td>Development in</td>			Women Fo	r Equality	y, Peace And I	Development in		
Executive Summary in Final ReportVery GoodTORs sent with ReportYesOVERALL RATINGOverall RatingVery GoodDVERALL RATINGOverall RatingVery GoodDVERALL RATINGOverall RatingVery GoodContext, integrating strong gender analysis and quantitative data within a programme that sought to support the implementation of normative standards for GEWE. There are several standout elements, and whilst there is scope for refinement in places the overall 'performance standout elements, and whilst there is scope for refinement in places the overall 'performance standout elements, and whilst there is scope for refinement in places the overall 'performance standout elements, and while there is scope for refinement in places the overall 'performance standout elements, and while there is scope for refinement in places the overall 'performance standout elements, and while there is scope for refinement in places the overall 'performance standout elements, and while there is scope for refinement in places the overall 'performance standout elements, and while there is one constrained users of the evaluation provides a detailed and wide ranging context section covering both the politic cenomy and the status of women. It would have been interesting to include a little more on the role of women in peace and security processes within the region/target area and the rom recognising an excellent evaluation report.PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPEPARAMETER 2Very GoodExecutive Feedback on Parameter 2Whils the purpose and specific objectives of the evaluation standards an appropriate approaches to data collection and analysis. There is scope for greater clarity on th overall mained methods design		26		6	Evaluation	2016		
Final ReportVery GoodReportTesOVERALL RATINGOverall RatingVery GoodDVERALL RATINGOverall RatingVery GoodThis report is an excellent example of the application of UNEG standards to the UN Women context, integrating strong gender analysis and quantitative data within a programme that sought to support the implementation of normative standards for GEWE. There are several standout elements, and whilst there is scope for refinement in places the overall 'performance story' elaborated in the report can be acted on with confidence by the primary intended users of the evaluation.PARAMETER 1Very GoodPARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATIONPARAMETER 1Very GoodExecutive Feedback on Parameter 2The evaluation provides a detailed and wide ranging context section covering both the politic conomy and the status of women. It would have been interesting to include a little more o the role of women in peace and security processes within the region/target area and the intersection of WEDP with other UN programmes. However, these ideas should not detra from recognising an excellent evaluation report.PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPEPARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGYPARAMETER 3: GoodPARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGYPARAMETER 3: GoodPARAMETER 4: Very GoodPARAMETER 4: Very GoodPARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGYPARAMETER 3: GoodPARAMETER 4: Very GoodPARAMETER 4: Very GoodPARAMETER 4: V	Region	Europe and Central Asia			Country(ies)	Georgia		
Executive Feedback on Overall RatingThis report is an excellent example of the application of UNEG standards to the UN Women context, integrating strong gender analysis and quantitative data within a programme that sought to support the implementation of normative standards for GEWE. There are several standout elements, and whilst there is scope for refinement in places the overall 'performance story' elaborated in the report can be acted on with confidence by the primary intended users of the evaluation.PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATIONPARAMETER 1Very GoodExecutive Feedback on Parameter 2The evaluation provides a detailed and wide ranging context section covering both the politic economy and the status of women. It would have been interesting to include a little more o the of do women in peace and security processes within the region/target area and th intersection of WEPD with other UN programmes. However, these ideas should not detract from recognising an excellent evaluation report.PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE Parameter 2PARAMETER 2Very GoodExecutive Feedback on Parameter 3:Whils the purpose and specific objectives of the evaluation are somewhat 'hidden' in the tex a detailed scope section, well defined criteria, and explicit discussion of GEHR are all outstanding markers of quality.GoodPARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGYPARAMETER 1Very GoodParameter 3The methods section contains both useful references to UNEG/UNW evaluation standards an appropriate approaches to data collection and analysis. There is scope for greater clarity on th overall mixed methods design and how triangulation between methods was achieved. I essence the evaluation is a qualitative design that also draws on some quantitative ev		Very Good				Yes		
Executive Feedback on Overall Ratingcontext, integrating strong gender analysis and quantitative data within a programme that sought to support the implementation of normative standards for GEWE. There are several standout elements, and whilst there is scope for refinement in places the overall 'performance' of the evaluation.PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATIONPARAMETER 1Very GoodExecutive Feedback on Parameter 2The evaluation provides a detailed and wide ranging context section covering both the politic economy and the status of women. It would have been interesting to include a little more of the role of women in peace and security processes within the region/target area and the intersection of WEPD with other UN programmes. However, these ideas should not detrak from recognising an excellent evaluation report.PARAMETER 2Very GoodPARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPEPARAMETER 2Very GoodExecutive Feedback on Parameter 2Whilst the purpose and specific objectives of the evaluation are somewhat 'hidden' in the tex a detailed scope section, well defined criteria, and explicit discussion of GEHR are all outstanding markers of quality.PARAMETER 3GoodPARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGYPARAMETER 4Very GoodParameter 3The methods section contains both useful references to UNEG/UNW evaluation standards an appropriate approaches to data collection and analysis. There is scope for greater clarity on the overall mixed methods design and how triangulation between methods was achieved. I essence the evaluation is a qualitative design that also draws on some quantitative results dat - which is appropriate and well executed within the limitations described. The consideration of ethics and the application of		-				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2The evaluation provides a detailed and wide ranging context section covering both the politic commy and the status of women. It would have been interesting to include a little more o the role of women in peace and security processes within the region/target area and the intersection of WEPD with other UN programmes. However, these ideas should not detract from recognising an excellent evaluation report.PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPEPARAMETER 2Very GoodExecutive Feedback on Parameter 2Whilst the purpose and specific objectives of the evaluation are somewhat 'hidden' in the tex a detailed scope section, well defined criteria, and explicit discussion of GEHR are all outstanding markers of quality.PARAMETER 3GoodPARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGYPARAMETER 3GoodExecutive Feedback on Parameter 3The methods section contains both useful references to UNEG/UNW evaluation standards an appropriate approaches to data collection and analysis. There is scope for greater clarity on the overall mixed methods design and how triangulation between methods was achieved. I essence the evaluation is a qualitative design that also draws on some quantitative results dat - which is appropriate and well executed within the limitations described. The consideration of ethics and the application of gender frameworks is excellent.PARAMETER 4: FINDINGSPARAMETER 4Very GoodExecutive Feedback on Parameter 4Findings are systematically addressed, drawing on a rich set of qualitative evidence and quantitative data on Self Help Group participation, along with strong analysis.PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNEDPARAMETER 5Very GoodParameter		context, integrating strong gender analysis and quantitative data within a programme that sought to support the implementation of normative standards for GEWE. There are several standout elements, and whilst there is scope for refinement in places the overall 'performance story' elaborated in the report can be acted on with confidence by the primary intended users						
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2economy and the status of women. It would have been interesting to include a little more of the role of women in peace and security processes within the region/target area and the intersection of WEPD with other UN programmes. However, these ideas should not detract from recognising an excellent evaluation report.PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPEPARAMETER 2Very GoodExecutive Feedback on Parameter 2Whilst the purpose and specific objectives of the evaluation are somewhat 'hidden' in the tex a detailed scope section, well defined criteria, and explicit discussion of GEHR are all outstanding markers of quality.PARAMETER 3GoodPARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGYPARAMETER 3GoodBarameter 3The methods section contains both useful references to UNEG/UNW evaluation standards an appropriate approaches to data collection and analysis. There is scope for greater clarity on the overall mixed methods design and how triangulation between methods was achieved. I 	PARAMETER 1: OBJECT					•		
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2 Whilst the purpose and specific objectives of the evaluation are somewhat 'hidden' in the tex a detailed scope section, well defined criteria, and explicit discussion of GEHR are all outstanding markers of quality. PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY PARAMETER 3 Good The methods section contains both useful references to UNEG/UNW evaluation standards an appropriate approaches to data collection and analysis. There is scope for greater clarity on the overall mixed methods design and how triangulation between methods was achieved. I essence the evaluation is a qualitative design that also draws on some quantitative results dat - which is appropriate and well executed within the limitations described. The consideration of ethics and the application of gender frameworks is excellent. PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS PARAMETER 4 Executive Feedback on Parameter 4 Findings are systematically addressed, drawing on a rich set of qualitative evidence and quantitative data on Self Help Group participation, along with strong analysis. PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED PARAMETER 5 Very Good Very Good deeper level. This is strong example of building on the findings to explore the implications for decision makers.		economy and the status of women. It would have been interesting to include a little more on the role of women in peace and security processes within the region/target area and the intersection of WEPD with other UN programmes. However, these ideas should not detract						
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2a detailed scope section, well defined criteria, and explicit discussion of GEHR are all outstanding markers of quality.PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGYPARAMETER 3GoodExecutive Feedback on Parameter 3The methods section contains both useful references to UNEG/UNW evaluation standards an appropriate approaches to data collection and analysis. There is scope for greater clarity on th overall mixed methods design and how triangulation between methods was achieved. It essence the evaluation is a qualitative design that also draws on some quantitative results dat - which is appropriate and well executed within the limitations described. The consideration of ethics and the application of gender frameworks is excellent.Very GoodPARAMETER 4: FINDINGSPARAMETER 4Very GoodExecutive Feedback on Parameter 4Findings are systematically addressed, drawing on a rich set of qualitative evidence and quantitative data on Self Help Group participation, along with strong analysis.Very GoodPARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNEDPARAMETER 5Very GoodExecutive Feedback on Parameter 5The conclusions section is well structured according to the criteria and takes the analysis to a deeper level. This is strong example of building on the findings to explore the implications for decision makers.	PARAMETER 2: PURPO	SE, OBJECTIVES AND	SCOPE		PARAMETER 2	Very Good		
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3The methods section contains both useful references to UNEG/UNW evaluation standards an appropriate approaches to data collection and analysis. There is scope for greater clarity on th overall mixed methods design and how triangulation between methods was achieved. I essence the evaluation is a qualitative design that also draws on some quantitative results dat - which is appropriate and well executed within the limitations described. The consideration of ethics and the application of gender frameworks is excellent.PARAMETER 4: FINDINGSPARAMETER 4Very GoodExecutive Feedback on 								
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3appropriate approaches to data collection and analysis. There is scope for greater clarity on the overall mixed methods design and how triangulation between methods was achieved. It essence the evaluation is a qualitative design that also draws on some quantitative results date - which is appropriate and well executed within the limitations described. The consideration of gender frameworks is excellent.PARAMETER 4: FINDINGPARAMETER 4Very GoodExecutive Feedback on Parameter 4Findings are systematically addressed, drawing on a rich set of qualitative evidence and quantitative data on Self Help Group participation, alorg with strong analysis.Very GoodPARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNEDPARAMETER 5Very GoodExecutive Feedback on Parameter 5The conclusions section is well structured according to the criteria and takes the analysis to a deeper level. This is strong example of building on the findings to explore the implications for decision makers.PARAMETER 5	PARAMETER 3: METHO	DOLOGY			PARAMETER 3	Good		
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4Findings are systematically addressed, drawing on a rich set of qualitative evidence and quantitative data on Self Help Group participation, along with strong analysis.PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNEDPARAMETER 5Very GoodExecutive Feedback on Parameter 5The conclusions section is well structured according to the criteria and takes the analysis to a deeper level. This is strong example of building on the findings to explore the implications for decision makers.		appropriate approaches t overall mixed methods essence the evaluation is - which is appropriate and	o data collection a design and how a qualitative desig d well executed w	and analysis. triangulatio gn that also c ithin the limi	There is scope for gr n between methods lraws on some quant itations described. T	eater clarity on the was achieved. In itative results data he consideration of		
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4quantitative data on Self Help Group participation, along with strong analysis.PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNEDPARAMETER 5Very GoodExecutive Feedback on Parameter 5The conclusions section is well structured according to the criteria and takes the analysis to a deeper level. This is strong example of building on the findings to explore the implications for decision makers.	PARAMETER 4: FINDIN				-			
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5 The conclusions section is well structured according to the criteria and takes the analysis to a deeper level. This is strong example of building on the findings to explore the implications for decision makers.								
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5deeper level. This is strong example of building on the findings to explore the implications for decision makers.	PARAMETER 5: CONCLU	USIONS AND LESSONS	S LEARNED		PARAMETER 5	Very Good		
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS PARAMETER 6 Good		deeper level. This is stron						
	PARAMETER 6:RECOM	MENDATIONS			PARAMETER 6	Good		

Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	Recommendations are well organised according to target institutions (although they are not disaggregated beyond this). Whilst they are relevant, there is relatively little detail compared to the other sections of the report.				
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS PARAMETER 7 Meets requirem					
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	The report consistently reflect a sound consideration of GEEW along its different sections. Partially supported by the object of the evaluation which focuses in different groups of women, the report takes these into account and reflect data regarding their particular situation and results of the program each of them.				
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE		PARAMETER 8	Very Good		
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	A clearly structured and readable report that presents all of the required information in a manner that is strongly consistent with UNEG guidance.				