

# UN Women Global Evaluation Report Assessment and Analysis System (GERAAS)



## Executive Feedback Template

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
Title of the Evaluation Report	APPUI A UNE PLANIFICATION LOCALE SENSIBLE AU GENRE ET INTEGRANT LA REDUCTION DES RISQUES ET DESASTRES CLIMATIQUES				
Report sequence number	6	Date of Review	2016	Year of the Evaluation Report	2015
Region	Arab States		Country(ies)	Morocco	
Executive Summary in Final Report	Good		TORs sent with Report	no	
<b>OVERALL RATING</b>			<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Good</b>	
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	Despite the fact that the methodology described could be more sophisticated and that the ToRs were not included within the annexes, this report presents a good evaluation quality, meeting most of UNEG's Evaluation Reporting Standards. Findings, conclusions and Recommendations are presented in a comprehensive, credible manner.				
<b>PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION</b>			<b>PARAMETER 1</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	This report presents the project object of the evaluation in Section II in an outstanding well-detailed manner. It covers the background, the expected results, the intervention's strategy, the zones of intervention, partners and finally describes the final beneficiaries. However, data about the specific context in Morocco justifying the project has not been clearly described.				
<b>PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE</b>			<b>PARAMETER 2</b>	<b>Good</b>	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	Section I reflects the evaluation purpose and objectives. The evaluation criteria are very well presented though they could have been developed making explicit gender issues, as suggested by UN Women's gender-responsive evaluation approach.				
<b>PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY</b>			<b>PARAMETER 3</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The methodology is satisfactorily described by the report though it does not fulfil the highest-evaluative standards. No evaluation approach is mentioned to be adopted, no data quality assurance mechanisms seem to be used, sampling criteria are not specified and gender perspective considerations are rare. It is appreciated that the evaluation matrix is included in page 18. The report does not mention specific measures to ensure data quality. No discussion about ethics was found in the evaluation report either.				
<b>PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 4</b>	<b>Good</b>	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	Findings are well presented in the report and are backed by a systematic, appropriate analysis. Special mention to the project's relevance which is assessed in a very thorough, comprehensive way. Gaps and limitations of the data are not discussed, decreasing in some way the credibility of the findings.				
<b>PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED</b>			<b>PARAMETER 5</b>	<b>Good</b>	

Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	The evaluation's conclusions are brief (page 54) but somehow synthesized the evaluation findings, though they could have further provided with new insights. The report do not harvest lessons learned, and this section is not included in the document.			
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width:60%;"><b>PARAMETER 6:RECOMMENDATIONS</b></td> <td style="width:20%;"><b>PARAMETER 6</b></td> <td style="width:20%;"><b>Good</b></td> </tr> </table>		<b>PARAMETER 6:RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>PARAMETER 6</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>PARAMETER 6:RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>PARAMETER 6</b>	<b>Good</b>		
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	Recommendations are relevant to the rest of the report and they have been grouped in three areas: capacity building, generalizing information systems and promoting projects for women's autonomy. Further reference to evidence would have been appreciated. Order of priority among the recommendations presented is not made explicit.			
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width:60%;"><b>PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS</b></td> <td style="width:20%;"><b>PARAMETER 7</b></td> <td style="width:20%;"><b>Missing requirement</b></td> </tr> </table>		<b>PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS</b>	<b>PARAMETER 7</b>	<b>Missing requirement</b>
<b>PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS</b>	<b>PARAMETER 7</b>	<b>Missing requirement</b>		
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	The report mentions the gender approach in what it looks as a superficial level (not specifying elements or implications of adopting such approach). It only seems to be partially integrated and the different evaluation elements do not seem to incorporate the gender lens. There are some scattered mentions of Gender considerations in the indicators contained in the evaluation matrix (page 18). The evaluation criteria make reference to the GEEW issues in a very indirect manner. The methodological design does not contain major evidence of including HR&GE as drivers of the approach and methods. There are certain elements making analysis supported by gender and other variables along the last sections of the report.			
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width:60%;"><b>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</b></td> <td style="width:20%;"><b>PARAMETER 8</b></td> <td style="width:20%;"><b>Good</b></td> </tr> </table>		<b>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</b>	<b>PARAMETER 8</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</b>	<b>PARAMETER 8</b>	<b>Good</b>		
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	The report presents a clear structure and is user-friendly to read. Executive summary and annexes overall meet requirements. The ToR is a key document that should be always included as an annex to better allow the assessment of the report do not form part of the document.			