

## UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

<b>Overall Report Rating</b>	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
------------------------------	-----------	------	--------------	----------------

<b>Response</b>					
<b>Title of the Evaluation Report</b>	<b>Final Evaluation of the Joint Integrated Local Development Programme (JILDLP)</b>				
<b>Report sequence number</b>	0	<b>Date of Review</b>	January 28th, 2017	<b>Year of the Evaluation Report</b>	<b>2015</b>
<b>Region</b>	Europe and Central Asia			<b>Country(ies)</b>	Moldova
<b>Executive Summary in Final Report</b>	Good			<b>TORs sent with Report</b>	No
<b>OVERALL RATING</b>				<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Overall Rating</b>	<p>This is a credible report that addresses the evaluation purpose and objectives based on evidence, and that can therefore be used with confidence. It presents very strong findings, conclusions, and lessons learned and is based on a satisfactory methodology. However, the methodology could be strengthened by including methods to collect first hand quantitative data. The report needs to justify the selected scope and explain what programme elements may lay outside of the scope (if any). Additionally, the report should explain why the criterion of "impact" was not included in the assessment. Although the recommendations are relevant and supported by evidence, the target group for action (i.e. UN Women Senior Management, UNDP country office, etc.) should be identified for each recommendation and each recommendation should present specific actions to be taken in order to ensure that they are actionable. They should also ideally be prioritized in order of importance. While the report is overall strong at mainstreaming gender and human rights principles, the report could be further strengthened by describing how the evaluation ensured that sex-disaggregated data was collected and how methodological tools were adapted to ensure that they are gender responsive.</p>				
<b>PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION</b>				<b>PARAMETER 1</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	<p>The report presents a clear and full description of the "object" of the evaluation and provides a very detailed description of the context, including issues related to gender equality and human rights. The roles of UNDP and UN Women are generally well explained. However, the roles of government ministries are not mentioned. The report specifies that the project's log frame is attached as a separate document.</p>				
<b>PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE</b>				<b>PARAMETER 2</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	<p>The evaluation's purpose, objectives and scope are sufficiently clear to guide the evaluation, with particularly useful information provided around the purpose of the evaluation, namely why and how the information will be used. While the criteria are clearly identified, the report does not provide a reason for why the criterion of "impact" was excluded from the evaluation. Also, the report does not discuss what falls outside of the evaluation scope and does not provide a justification for the selected scope.</p>				
<b>PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY</b>				<b>PARAMETER 3</b>	<b>Good</b>

Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The methodology used for the evaluation is clearly described and the rationale for the methodological choice is justified. The report describes how stakeholders were actively involved in the evaluation process, how human rights and gender principles were integrated throughout the evaluation approach, and, how evaluators maintained ethical standards. However, the "mixed methods" methodology outlined in the report is weak on the quantitative side (i.e. does not include any ways to collect primary quantitative data) and could be strengthened by including a survey or some other technique to capture first-hand numerical data. While the report identifies what was covered throughout the evaluation process, it does not specify what was not covered and no justification or criteria were provided around why a certain scope was selected.
<b>PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS</b>	<b>PARAMETER 4</b> <b>Very Good</b>
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	Findings are clearly stated and are presented around the evaluation criteria and according to the programme's results framework. Evaluation questions are identified per criterion to help guide the reader. The report is particularly strong in discussing factors supporting or hindering programme success.
<b>PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED</b>	<b>PARAMETER 5</b> <b>Very Good</b>
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	Conclusions are succinct and yet provide additional insight beyond the findings, including a discussion around solutions of important problems. They are balanced and are substantiated by the evidence. Lessons learned are correctly identified and can be used to inform programmes in other similar contexts and scenarios.
<b>PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>PARAMETER 6</b> <b>Satisfactory</b>
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	Recommendations are relevant and supported by evidence. The report is also strong at describing the process of developing the recommendations in terms of stakeholder consultation and involvement. This being said, the target group for action is not identified for each recommendation and some of the recommendations are not particularly actionable due to their vague nature (i.e. ensure good governance principles are mainstreamed into all development efforts). Although the recommendations are few in number, they are not prioritized in terms of which ones should be implemented first.
<b>PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS</b>	<b>PARAMETER 7</b> <b>Approaching Requirements</b>
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	The report overall is adequate at integrating gender and human rights principles. GEEW is integrated into the evaluation questions and a gender analysis is presented throughout the findings, conclusions, and recommendations. However, indicators in the Evaluation Matrix do not specify that sex-disaggregated data will be collected. Additionally, the methodology and tools do not seem to have been adapted to ensure that they are gender-responsive.
<b>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</b>	<b>PARAMETER 8</b> <b>Good</b>
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	The report is well structured and includes a complete and succinct Executive Summary. The opening pages are generally complete but are missing information on the commissioning organization(s). Annexes are listed and are quite inclusive but do not include the ToR.