

UN Women GERAAS Executive Feedback Template

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
------------------------------	-----------	------	--------------	----------------

	Response				
Title of the Evaluation Report	Strengthening a Participatory, Evidenced Based Formulation of a Comprehensive Action Plan to End Violence Against Girls in Cambodia, and Implementation of the 2nd Cambodian National Action Plan to Prevent Violence Against Women (2nd NAPVAW)				
Report sequence number	0	Date of Review	29 January 2017	Year of the Evaluation Report	2016
Region	Asia and the Pacific		Country(ies)	Cambodia	
Executive Summary in Final Report	Good		TORs sent with Report	Yes	
OVERALL RATING			Overall Rating	Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	This is a high quality report that can be used with confidence since it observes good or best practices in all of its sections. The only element that could be improved would be to include a description of the process by which the recommendations were produced, including the level of stakeholders' participation in it.				
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION			PARAMETER 1	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The evaluation presents a clear and complete description of the object of the evaluation. It includes a Logic Model; information on the context in which the two evaluated projects operated; the key stakeholders, including the implementing agencies, and the project's implementation status.				
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE			PARAMETER 2	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The evaluation's purpose, objectives and scope are thoroughly addressed in the evaluation report. The evaluation is particularly strong at clearly explaining the evaluation objectives and presenting the main evaluation questions, as well as at discussing the way in which gender and human rights perspectives were included in the evaluation objectives and scope.				
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY			PARAMETER 3	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The methodology used for the evaluation is clearly described and includes sufficient information on all aspects assessed in parameter 3. Data collection methods, data sources, and the sampling frame as well as the rationale for selecting them are addressed. As well, the stakeholders consulted are specified and the interview protocols are presented in the annexes. Finally, the evaluation is very strong at including methods that are appropriated for analysing gender and human-rights considerations, as well as at discussing the evaluation design's ethical safeguards and mechanisms implemented throughout the evaluation process.				
PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS			PARAMETER 4	Very Good	

Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The findings are clearly presented and structured around the evaluation criteria and questions. They are relevant and based on the objective use of a significant quantity of credible evidence. They respond directly to the evaluation criteria and questions, which contributes to clarity. Also, all findings reflect a systematic and appropriate analysis and interpretation of the presented data, using a structured ranking system. Gaps and limitations are correctly addressed and the reasons for accomplishments and failures are identified.
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED	PARAMETER 5 Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	The conclusions provide pertinent insights to the evaluation object and add value to the findings. Also, the conclusions are formulated so as to provide solutions to issues that may be encountered, and address the strengths and weaknesses of the projects. The report is particularly strong at presenting Lessons Learned that contribute to general knowledge, suggesting how they can be applied to different contexts.
PARAMETER 6:RECOMMENDATIONS	PARAMETER 6 Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	The recommendations are relevant to the object and purpose of the evaluation. They are supported by evidence; identify the target group for each recommendation; and reflect an understanding of the commissioning organization and potential constraints. However, the report does not describe the process followed in developing the recommendations, including the level and type of consultation with stakeholders.
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS	PARAMETER 7 Meets Requirements
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	Gender and Human-Rights perspectives are well integrated in all the evaluation process and sufficiently discussed in the report.
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE	PARAMETER 8 Very Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	The report is well structured, logical, complete, and easy to follow. The title page and opening pages contain all necessary elements and so do the annexes, which greatly increase the credibility of the report. The executive summary can stand alone and inform decision-making.