

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
------------------------------	-----------	------	--------------	----------------

Response					
Title of the Evaluation Report	UN Women Pakistan Women Leadership and Social Reconstruction Project (July 2014 –June 2016)				
Report sequence number	0	Date of Review	05 February 2017	Year of the Evaluation Report	2016
Region	Asia and the Pacific			Country(ies)	Pakistan
Executive Summary in Final Report	Good			TORs sent with Report	Yes
OVERALL RATING				Overall Rating	Good
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	This is overall a good quality report that can be used with confidence. However, some issues need to be addressed. Namely, the evaluation scope both in chronological and geographical terms needs to be discussed in the evaluation report, and a justification for what the evaluation covers and what it does not must also be provided. As it is indicated in the ToR, the methodology should include quantitative methods, i.e. surveys or other method, as well as qualitative methods. Also, the process by which the recommendations were produced, including the level of stakeholders' participation in it, must be further specified.				
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION				PARAMETER 1	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The evaluation presents a clear description of the object of the evaluation. It includes a pictorial and verbal description of the Logic Model as well as information on the context in which the evaluated project operated. The key stakeholders, including the implementing agencies are listed, and the project's implementation status is specified.				
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE				PARAMETER 2	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The evaluation's purpose and objectives are adequately addressed in the evaluation report. However, the scope of what is to be covered by the evaluation in chronological and geographical terms is not discussed in the report. The evaluation clearly explains the evaluation objectives and presents the main evaluation questions. Also, the evaluation report discusses the way in which gender and human rights perspectives were included in the evaluation objectives and scope.				
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY				PARAMETER 3	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The methodology used for the evaluation is clearly described and data collection methods are discussed. However, there is no reference to any quantitative method used, i.e. survey or other methods, even if the ToR required that mixed methods be used. On the other hand, data sources, the sampling frame and the rationale for selecting them are adequately addressed. Also, the stakeholders consulted are specified and the interview protocols are presented in the annexes. Furthermore, the evaluation underlines the main UN Women's commitment to Gender Equality that is to be mainstreamed throughout the methodology used in the evaluation. Finally, the evaluation also				

	discusses the evaluation design's ethical safeguards and mechanisms implemented so as to "do no harm" throughout the evaluation process.	
PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS	PARAMETER 4	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The findings are clearly presented, structured around the evaluation criteria and respond to evaluation questions, which contributes to clarity. They are relevant and based on the objective use of sufficient evidence. All findings reflect systematic analysis and interpretation of the presented data. Gaps and limitations are correctly addressed and the reasons for accomplishments and failures are correctly identified.	
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED	PARAMETER 5	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	The conclusions provide pertinent insights to the evaluation object and added value to the findings. Also, the conclusions are formulated so as to provide solutions to issues that may be encountered, and both the strengths and areas of improvement of the projects are addressed. The report presents Lessons Learned but these are not correctly identified and only refer to the particular context in which the object of evaluation took place.	
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS	PARAMETER 6	Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	The recommendations are relevant to the object and purpose of the evaluation. They are supported by evidence; identify the target group for each recommendation; and reflect an understanding of the commissioning organization and potential constraints. The report explains that recommendations were partly drawn upon interviews with all key stakeholders but no more details are provided.	
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS	PARAMETER 7	Meets Requirements
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	Gender and Human Rights perspectives are well integrated in all the evaluation processes and sufficiently discussed in the report. A gender analysis can be found throughout the whole report.	
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE	PARAMETER 8	Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	The report is logically structured and easy to read. The title page and opening pages contain all of the necessary elements and so do the annexes, which greatly increase the overall credibility of the report. The executive summary is succinct, can stand alone and inform decision-making.	